

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,573		10/03/2000	· -	Adrian Pell	•	10990443-3	5628	
22879 7590 01/21/2005						EXAMINER		
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY						LIPMAN, JACOB		
	-	3404 E. HARMON						
INTELLE	CTUAL	PROPERTY ADM	INISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT CO	LLINS,	CO 80527-2400				2134 DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005		
					DATE			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

									
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany	09/678,573	PELL, ADRIAN							
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit							
	Jacob Lipman	2134							
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the corresp ndence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 October 2000.								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer	Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	received.							
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 09/678,573

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-20 in the reply filed on 6/07/2004 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 and 11 recite the limitation "regardless of the presence of firewall protection in said requesting system". It is unclear if the requesting system

Application/Control Number: 09/678,573

Art Unit: 2134

necessarily has a firewall, or if the claim includes systems where no firewall is present in the requesting system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claims 1-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Waldin Jr. et al., US Patent number 6,052,531.

With regard to claims 1 and 11, Waldin Jr. discloses a requesting system (column 4 lines 1-12), a support system (column 4 lines 17-24), and a collaboration system (column 8 lines 28-57) accessible to the requesting and support systems (column 7 lines 37-42), the collaboration system including a rendezvous service to initiate communication between the systems (column 4 lines 38-40) and an interaction service to manage interaction between the systems (column 4 line 39) over the Internet (column 8 lines 32-35).

With regard to claims 2 and 12, Waldin discloses the proper support is located by the rendezvous service (column 4 line 38-39).

With regard to claims 3 and 13, Waldin discloses rules used to locate the proper support (column 4 lines 38-39).

With regard to claims 4 and 14, Waldin discloses support is received on a proxy (column 4 lines 38-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,573

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claims 5 and 15, Waldin discloses the support representative generates the response (column 4 lines 17-24).

With regard to claims 6 and 16, Waldin discloses the response is an executable to be run on the requesting system (column 4 lines 40-45).

With regard to claims 7 and 17, Waldin discloses the support proxy loads the response (column 4 lines 38-40).

With regard to claims 8 and 18, Waldin discloses the response is digitally signed (column 4 lines 45-46).

With regard to claims 9 and 19, Waldin discloses the support proxy verifies the signature (column 9 lines 36-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waldin Jr. et al.

With regard to claims 10 and 20, Waldin discloses the system of claim 9, as outlined above, but does not disclose the length of the key used to sign the response. The examiner takes official notice that using a key of at least 128 bits is a well known in the art to increase security. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2134

of ordinary skill in the art to sign use a key of at least 128 bits to sign the response in Waldin.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

CREGORY MORSE
SUTERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECKNOLOGY CENTER 2100